

Exercise 3.

Provide a precise definition for yourself of *2-cycle* and then generalise the definition to a *n-cycle*.

Exercise 4.

(i). Derive a recurrence relation for looking two years ahead.

Hint: use function composition.

(ii). Verify that the period two cycle shows up as two fixed points for this relation.

We are now going to apply the results of Exercise 1. and Figure 2. in order to sketch a first version of the *bifurcation diagram* that corresponds to Equation 1. The bifurcation diagram visualises the long time behaviour of $x(n)$, depending on the value of a . That is, on the *horizontal-axis* we let a or better, $\ln(a)$ vary. For a fixed value of $\ln(a)$ we put a dot for every value of $x(n)$ that appears for, say, $50 < n < 100$. Thus, the *vertical-axis* displays the long time values for $x(n)$.

Exercise 5.

(i). How many different values do you expect in the long run for $x(n)$, when $\ln(a) < 2$? And how many when $\ln(a)$ is slightly bigger than 2?

(ii). Sketch a bifurcation diagram that reflects your answers in part i).

For larger values of $\ln(a)$, the recurrence relation will display chaotic behaviour. We will investigate this, using the computer, in the Mathematica session. This will enable us to extend the bifurcation diagram to larger values of $\ln(a)$.

***Exercise 6.**

Suppose we had started with the following equation.

$$x(n+1) = ax(n)e^{-bx(n)} \quad (2)$$

Verify that b can be eliminated by using bx as a new variable.

Scaling x is, since x is number/area, like choosing a different unit to measure area and clearly the choice of unit can influence magnitude but not dynamics. Conclude that therefore our analysis also holds for the more general situation as modelled in Equation 2.